IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 19TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 30TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 25299 of 2006(J)

PETITIONER:

---

1. VINEETH. A., S/O. RADHAKRISHNAN
   ACHILATT HOUSE, P.O. MEMUNDA,
   VATAKARA-673 104.

2. RESHMI RAVEENDRAN, D/O RAVEENDRAN
   MALAYIL HOUSE, P.O. EDACHERI, (VIA) VATAKARA.

3. RAJISHA B.V., D/O. RAJAN B.V.,
   BHOOMIVATHUKKAL HOUSE, P.O. IYYANCODE,
   NADAPURAM.

4. PREMA V.K., D/O MOHANAN V.K.,
   MAYOORAM, P.O. CHORODE, VATAKARA.

5. FAIZAL K., S/O. K. ALI,
   MOOPPICHATHIL HOUSE, P.O. PAYYOLI-673 522.

6. JAYAKRISHNAN P., S/O. GOPALAN,
   PARAMBATH HOUSE, P.O. PUTHUR, VATAKARA.

7. SANDEEP V., S/O. PRABHAKARAN V.,
   VALLIL HOUSE, P.O. NUT STREET,
   VATAKARA-673 104.

8. RAJESH N., S/O. SIVADASAN,
   ILLATH KUNIYIL HOUSE, P.O. PURAMER,
   VATAKARA.

9. SHANOOJ P.K., S/O. KUMARAN P.K.,
   PEETHAKANDIYIL HOUSE, P.O. MAYYANNUR,
   VATAKARA.

10. MOHAMMED SAJITH P.M., S/O. SOOPPY,
    PERINCHERIMEETHAL HOUSE, P.O. THIRUVALLUR,
    VATAKARA.

11. DHANESH N., S/O. GOVINDAN,
    NAYIMMOLY HOUSE, P.O. KARTHIKAPPALLY,
    VILAPPALLY-673 542.

12. NITESH PARAMESWARAN, S/O.PARAMESWARAN,
SARASWATHI NIVAS, P.O. NITTUR,
(VIA) KAKKATTIL-673 507.

13. AMRUTHA BINDU P.S., D/O.SREEKUMAR T.P.,
THAVODI HOUSE, P.O. CHINGAPURAM,
THIKKODI-673 529.

14. SOORAJ KUNIYIL, S/O. RAVEENDRAN,
N.P. NIVAS, NARAYANA NAGAR
P.O. VATAKARA-673 101.

BY ADV. SRI.K.MOHANAKANNAN
SMT.A.R.PRAVITHA

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. CALICUT UNIVERSITY, REPRESENTED
BY ITS REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.,
MALAPPURAM.

2. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.

3. THE PRINCIPAL, SREENARAYANA COLLEGE,
VATAKARA.

R1&2 BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 19/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

W.P.(C) No.25299 of 2006

.....

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2007.

JUDGMENT

No further orders are required in this W.P. because the result of 12 students out of 14 students whose results are withheld, are published. The other two have challenged the cancellation of examination written by them. This W.P. is therefore closed as infructuous.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms